



ASSOCIATED STUDENTS, INCORPORATED  
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES

ADMINISTRATIVE MANUAL  
JUDICIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE  
CODE OF PROCEDURE

Revised: 12/81  
Revised: 01/82  
Revised: 10/85  
Revised: 10/85  
Approved: 10/85  
Revised: 04/99  
Revised: 04/01  
Revised: 11/08  
Approved: 04/10

Policy 301

Deleted: Section Break (Next Page)

Deleted: <#>A. review, upon appeal, decisions of the Board of Directors (B.O.D.) involving interpretations of the Articles of Incorporation, the Bylaws, and the Board of Directors Code of Procedure.¶

Formatted: List Paragraph, Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: single, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: A, B, C, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at 0.53"

Deleted: Do we want it to just by Bylaws COP?

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Narrow, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Formatted: Font: Arial Narrow

Deleted: B..

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Narrow, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Deleted: C.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: A, B, C, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Deleted: D.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Narrow, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Formatted: ... [1]

Deleted: d¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Narrow, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Deleted: E..

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Narrow, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Formatted: ... [2]

Deleted: Is there a difference between resolve and hear?¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Narrow, 10 pt, Font color: Black

Deleted: <#>¶

Formatted: ... [3]

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: Has this procedure been followed?

Formatted: ... [4]

Deleted: .

Deleted: C.

Deleted: ¶

ARTICLE I

AUTHORITY AND FUNCTION

These codes shall act as the governing procedures for the Judicial Review Committee (J.R.C.) of the Associated Students, Incorporated (A.S.I.) of California State University, Los Angeles.

Section 1 – Authority

The power of the Judicial Review Committee is derived from the Associated Students, Inc. Bylaws, Article IX, Section 6.

Section 2 – Function

The Judicial Review Committee shall:

- A. after appropriate legal consultation, shall have the power to declare corporation actions contrary to the Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws, or any Code. Such declarations shall then be referred to the Board of Directors for immediate remedial action pursuant to Bylaws Article VI, Section 1, Clause 1.
- B. declare all elections results.
- C. hear all alleged violations of the election campaign rules and regulations outlined in the Elections Committee Code of Procedure.
- D. resolve grievances between regular members of the Associated Students, Inc., as defined in the A.S.I. Bylaws, and all elected and appointed officers of A.S.I. relative to the functioning of A.S.I. hear other grievances or issues referred to the J.R.C. by the B.O.D., or University President.

ARTICLE II

MEMBERSHIP AND DUTIES

Section 1 – Voting Membership

The voting membership of the J.R.C. shall consist of a Chief Justice, three (3) Associate Justices and one (1) faculty member.

A. The Chief Justice and the Associate Justices shall be recommended by the A.S.I. President and appointed by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the B.O.D.

- 1. The justices may not hold any elected or appointed office within A.S.I.
- 2. The justices must be regular members of the Associated Students, Inc. in good standing as defined by the University Registrar.

B. The faculty member shall be recommended by the Committee on Committees of the Academic Senate and shall be approved by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the B.O.D.

Section 2 – Nonvoting Membership

The nonvoting membership of the J.R.C. shall consist of the University President or his/her designee and the A.S.I. Programs Coordinator.

Section 3 – Duties of the Chief Justice

The Chief Justice shall preside over all proceedings of the Committee.

- A. The Chief Justice shall ensure that all established rules and procedures of the Committee are adhered to.
- B. The Chief Justice shall be the official spokesperson of the Committee.
- C. Serve as the chair of the Bylaws and COP Subcommittee
- D. In the event that the Chief Justice resigns or is absent, the J.R.C. shall appoint an interim Chief Justice from the Associate Justices. The interim Chief Justice shall occupy this position until the position is filled by the B.O.D. in accordance with Article 2, Section 1, A of these codes.

Section 4 – Duties of the Associate Justices



The Associate Justices shall:

- A. be members of the J.R.C. as outlined in Article I, Section 2 of these codes.
- B. be members of the A.S.I. Bylaws and Codes of Procedure Subcommittee.

Section 5 - Removal of a Justice

In the event that a justice is found to have been derelict in his/her duties or failed to maintain qualifications for membership on the J.R.C., he/she may be removed by an absolute two-thirds (2/3) majority vote of the J.R.C. and of the B.O.D.

- A. The Chief Justice will notify the A.S.I. President & Executive Director in writing regarding the removal of a justice. The support of two (2) Associate Justices are needed to initiate the removal of the Chief Justice.
- B. The J.R.C. will place the removal of the justice on the meeting agenda as an action item.
- C. The justice in question shall be notified by the Executive Director one (1) week prior to the removal hearing at the B.O.D. meeting.
- D. The B.O.D. will hold a hearing for the justice in question, who will have the opportunity to address the B.O.D. before a removal is voted on. Confirmation of the removal shall rest with the Executive Director.

ARTICLE III

NON-ELECTION

Grievance Hearings

Hearings that do not pertain to the A.S.I. elections shall follow the guidelines delineated in this article.

Section 1 - Commencing a Hearing

- A. The plaintiff(s) shall file a Hearing Request Form with the Chief Justice through a scheduled meeting in person. This request must name the defendant(s), state code violations, describe the charges being filed, and list any witnesses. Any submitted petition in connection with a grievance must accompany the Hearing Request Form.
- B. A copy of the request form must also be delivered in person, by the plaintiff(s) to the defendant(s). If undeliverable in person, the J.R.C. may use reasonable means to deliver the request form.
- C. The defendant(s) must file a written reply with the Chief Justice of the J.R.C. through a scheduled meeting in person within five (5) business days after receiving the request of the hearing.
- D. The J.R.C. shall meet to decide whether to hear or dismiss the case (by a simple majority of the present, voting membership), based solely on the written documents filed by the plaintiff(s) and defendant(s), within ten (10) business days after the defendant(s) have been notified of the request for hearing, regardless of whether a rebuttal has been lodged by the defendant(s). If a case is accepted, a hearing date shall be determined.
- E. All parties shall be informed in writing of the decision (and hearing date(s), if applicable) within two (2) business days following this meeting.

Section 2 - Rules of Hearing

- A. The J.R.C., by its own motion, may limit the time allowed for each case, testimony, and number of witnesses. However, both sides shall be treated equally in such allotments.
- B. A postponement of the hearing may be granted by a majority vote of the J.R.C. if the defendant(s) can show just cause.
- C. Representation by proxy for either party shall be permitted through the following process:
  - 1. The party requests permission to be represented by proxy at least twenty-four (24) hours prior to the hearing. This request should be in writing (in a letter) to the Chief Justice.
  - 2. The Chief Justice shall decide whether to allow the party to be represented by proxy.
- D. Proxies must be faculty, staff, or regular members of A.S.I. as defined in the Bylaws.
- E. If the plaintiff(s) or proxy is not present at the set hearing, the case shall be dismissed.
- F. If the defendant(s) or proxy is not present at the set hearing, the status of the hearing will be determined by the J.R.C.

Section 3 - Order of Hearing

- A. The Chief Justice shall read the charges brought forth by the plaintiff(s).
- B. The plaintiff(s) shall confirm the charges and present his/her case, subject to cross-examination by the defendant(s) and then the
- C. The defendant(s) shall present his/her case, also subjected to cross-examination by the plaintiff(s) and then the J.R.C.
- D. After both cases have been presented, closing arguments shall be made by the plaintiff, and then the defendant. The J.R.C. may make further inquiries after each argument.
- E. After the closing arguments, the J.R.C. shall have the option of deliberating in closed (not all CSU's have this in closed session) session.
- G. Upon the end of deliberation, the Committee shall take a vote in open session and the majority opinion shall be expressed.
- H. The majority opinion shall be written and released within one week. The majority opinion must be signed by a majority of the voting members of the J.R.C. Any member of the J.R.C. may write a dissenting or concurring opinion. All opinions must be posted.

ARTICLE IV

ELECTION HEARINGS

Hearings pertaining to elections shall follow the guidelines delineated in the Non-Elections Hearings requirements with the exceptions listed in this article.

Formatted: Top: 0.69", Section start: New page, Number of columns: 1, Col #1 width: 7.36"

Deleted: two-thirds (2/3) majority

Deleted: or agreement?

Deleted: T

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.28", Hanging: 0.22", Line spacing: single, Tab stops: 0.53", Left

Deleted: additional

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.28", Hanging: 0.22"

Deleted: Does the Justice in question get to vote on this?

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.28", Right: 0.27", Space Before: 0.2 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 11.5 pt

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶  
Article III Judicial Review--- Would the Committee consider the idea of adding procedure for judicial review? Should we differentiate between grievances filed against individuals and appeals of board decisions? Under the current procedure, actions by the B.O.D. are reviewed utilizing the procedure below. Yet, I feel the current procedure makes it hard to specify the JRC's judicial review role specified in our function. By clarifying this role we can also specify it as advisory. It seems the Hearing Procedure was designed similar to that of a trial court (hears arguments, etc.). In my opinion however, it would seem better to also include a judicial review procedure to rule on the "constitutionality" of an act or policy, very mu... [5]

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: \_

Deleted: \_

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Deleted: ? (Is a student body (JRC) abl... [6]

Deleted: J.R.C.¶

Deleted: executive

Formatted: ... [7]

Deleted: F.

Deleted: and the option is exercised by... [8]

Deleted: Associated Students, Inc. ... [9]

Deleted: F..

Deleted: A

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

- A. A copy of the request will then be posted immediately on the bulletin board outside of the A.S.I. Administrative Office.
- B. It is the duty of every candidate to check the board daily for hearing notices or possible complaints lodged against them.
- C. The J.R.C. shall decide within one (1) business day after receiving the hearing request form whether or not the case shall be heard, based solely on the written Hearing Request Form. The J.R.C. must meet in person where reasonably possible to decide whether or not to hold a hearing. In the event that a physical meeting cannot take place, all members of the J.R.C. will be provided with the submitted Hearing Request Form. All voting members of the J.R.C. must then present the Chief Justice with a document containing their decision.
- D. The decision to hold a hearing shall be posted on the bulletin board.

Application of General Election Violations:

1. Minor violations of the Elections Committee Code of Procedures by an individual/candidate/slate/ticket/campaign volunteers shall consist of one entire act, which must be corrected within the twenty-four hour time period from the time of written grievance of such violation. These minor violations may include, but not be limited to:

- a. Posters partially or completely covering other campus notifications, department notifications, or other candidates' flyers.
- b. Posting on trees, building walls, pillars or doors
- c. Posting on any cement walls or other textiles (posts, pillars, waste receptacles) on or around campus
- d. Placement of any posters without prior Elections Committee Approval

Formatted: Font: Century Gothic

Formatted: Line spacing: single

2. Major violations of the campaign rules by an individual/candidate/slate/ticket/campaign volunteers may include but are not limited to:

- a. Intentionally committing one minor violation and/or unintentionally committing four minor violations.
- b. Use of menace, force, threat or any unlawful means towards any voter to hinder or deter such voter from voting, or directly or indirectly offering any bribe, reward, or anything of value to a voter in exchange for the voter's vote for or against any candidate.
- c. Tampering or alternation of any official election material or ballot without authorization.
- d. Failure to attend and participate in any of the debates posted.
- e. Failure to adhere to A.S.I. campaign spending limits.
- f. Submitting a false expenditure form. This includes submitting a expenditure form that does not include all expenditures up to the specified date.
- g. Non-compliance in submission of expenditure forms on time with receipts attached.
- h. Distribution of any food or drink in coordination with a campaign.
- i. Removal or defacement of lawfully placed political advertising without authorization.
- j. Use of A.S.I. equipment or supplies for campaign purposes, including phones, office machines, office space, and email accounts.
- k. Violating the Cal State L.A. IT Policy, Residence Hall Policy, or the Facility Services Policy as defined in the Candidate's Packet
- l. Interference with the academic instruction of University classes
- m. Violating state or federal phone solicitation laws
- n. Other gross or intentional misconduct as perceived by the Elections Committee of such violation

Formatted: Font: Century Gothic, 10 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Font: Century Gothic, 10 pt

3. In all instances, the actions of a candidate's authorized agents shall be constructed as the action of the authorizing candidate.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Penalties for Major and Minor Violations

The Elections Committee and JRC have the right to impose any or all of the following sanctions against an individual/candidate/slate/ticket/campaign volunteers who is found to have violated the Elections Committee Code of Procedure, university policies or procedures concerning student behavior:

1. Minor Violation

- a. given a warning and required to cease and desist
- b. Community Service within the University Student Union

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.75"

c. Require an individual/candidate/slate/ticket/campaign volunteers to refrain from any/all types of campaigning for a period of at least one hour, not to exceed a period of two weeks, prior to or during the course of the election (including during the hours of voting).

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.75", Space After: 0 pt, Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.75"

2. Major Violation

a. Recommend to the A.S.I. JRC that a candidate/slate/ticket be disqualified from assuming office.

**Formatted:** Line spacing: single

b. Restriction from participating for a period of up to one school year in A.S.I. paid or volunteer positions.

**Formatted:** Space After: 0 pt, Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, Numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Penalties for intentional violations.

Any violation which is found by the JRC to have been a result of a willful intent to disobey the stated rules shall be deemed intentional.

**Formatted:** Font: Century Gothic, 10 pt

**Formatted:** Line spacing: single

a. A major violation which is proven to be intentional may constitute grounds for an elected candidate to be removed from office.

ARTICLE V.

MEETINGS

Section 1 – Meetings

**Deleted:** !

A. The Justices shall determine, at the beginning of each quarter the time, day, and place for possible meetings of the Committee. Such times and places shall be convenient for the faculty member.

B. Justices must attend all meetings unless a notice of absence has been submitted at least 24 hours in advance to the Chief Justice.

C. Members of the Committee may recuse themselves from any case of the Committee if a conflict of interest exists.

**Deleted:** disqualify

Section 2 – Quorum

Quorum shall be defined as three (3) voting members. For the purposes of establishing quorum, the chair shall be counted among the voting membership.

Section 2 – Special and Emergency Meetings

The J.R.C. may meet on a special and emergency basis. When a special meeting is necessary, the Chief Justice shall call the meeting through a written notice to all members no less than twenty-four (24) hours prior to the meeting. In the case of an emergency situation involving matters upon which prompt action is necessary due to the disruption or threatened disruption of public facilities, a legislative body may hold an emergency meeting without complying with either the 24-hour notice requirement or the 24-hour posting requirement, or both. Emergency situations are defined in the Gloria Romero Open Meeting Act of 2000. An emergency meeting may be called by either the Chief Justice, or through a request to the Chief Justice by three (3) voting members of the J.R.C.

ARTICLE VI.

AMENDMENTS

Proposed amendments to these codes shall be submitted by a majority vote of the J.R.C. to the A.S.I. Bylaws and Codes of Procedure Subcommittee for their review and approval prior to submitting the proposed changes to the B.O.D. for their two-thirds (2/3) approval.

**Deleted:** !

**Formatted:** Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 10 pt, Tab stops: Not at 4.64"

Page 1: [1]  
Formatted  
A.S.I.  
11/19/2012  
12:13:00 AM

List  
Paragraph,  
Right: 0.25",  
Space  
Before: 0.15  
pt, Line  
spacing:  
Exactly 11.5  
pt,  
Numbered +  
Level: 1 +  
Numbering  
Style: A, B,  
C, ... + Start  
at: 1 +  
Alignment:  
Left +  
Aligned at:  
0.25" +  
Indent at:  
0.5"

Page 1: [2]  
Formatted  
Weser, Intef  
11/14/2014  
5:01:00 PM

List  
Paragraph,  
Space  
Before: 0  
pt, Line  
spacing:  
single,  
Widow/Orp  
han control,  
Adjust space  
between  
Latin and  
Asian text,  
Adjust space  
between  
Asian text  
and  
numbers

Page 1: [3] Formatted Weser, Intef 10/21/2013 6:26:00 PM

Indent: First line: 0.25", Right: 0.17", Space Before: 0.15 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 11.5 pt,  
Tab stops: 0.76", Left

Page 1: [4] Formatted A.S.I. 11/19/2012 12:15:00 AM

Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Exactly 11.25 pt, Tab stops: 0.56", Left + Not  
at 0.53"

Page 2: [5] Deleted Weser, Intef 11/14/2014 5:04:00 PM

Article III Judicial Review-- Would the Committee consider the idea of adding procedure for judicial review? Should we differentiate between grievances filed against individuals and appeals of board decisions? Under the current procedure, actions by the B.O.D. are reviewed utilizing the procedure below. Yet, I feel the current procedure makes it hard to specify the JRC's judicial review role specified in our function. By clarifying this role we can also specify it as advisory. It seems the Hearing Procedure was designed similar to that of a trial court (hears arguments, etc.). In my opinion however, it would seem better to also include a judicial review procedure to rule on the "constitutionality" of an act or policy, very much like the Supreme Court (hint: this would make the Bylaws more involved in passing proper policies). This way, we would not have to have a hearing, but rather make a ruling solely based on our Bylaws.

Page 2: [6] Deleted Weser, Intef 8/19/2014 2:52:00 PM

? (Is a student body (JRC) able to make interpretations of state stature?),

Page 2: [7] Formatted iweser 11/19/2012 5:03:00 PM

Indent: Left: 0.28", Hanging: 0.25", Right: 0.33", Line spacing: Exactly 11.5 pt, Tab stops:  
0.53", Left

Page 2: [8] Deleted Giulio Sanchez 11/6/2012 1:11:00 AM

and the option is exercised by a majority vote of the J.R.C.A vote in open session regarding the case  
shall be required.

Page 2: [9] Deleted iweser 11/19/2012 5:02:00 PM

Associated Students, Inc. Judiciary Policies – Policy 300-303

...For the Students, by the Students!

